COMMISSIONERS
DOUG LITTLE - Chairman
BOB STUMP
BOB BURNS
TOM FORESE
ANDY TOBIN





ORIGINAL

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

Augus	t 5, 2016		2011 YUG -5	DOCKET CONTROL
To:	Docket Control		P 3: 28	9 1780 1
RE:	UNISOURCE ENERGY SERVICES (UNS) -	Customer Comments	ထ	
Docke	t No: E-04204A-15-0142			
custon	docket the attached opinions (includer signatures opposing the above filed case. The provided HTML representation of the provided		3_	
Filed b	y: Utilities Division – Consumer Services	Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED AUG 0 5 2016		

BOOKETED BY

Investigator: Mary Mee

Phone: <<< REDACTED >>>

Opinion Date: 7/29/2016

Opinion Number: 2016 - 133549

Priority: Respond within 5 business days

Opinion Codes:

Rate Case Items - Opposed

Closed Date: 8/5/2016 10:21 AM

Rate Case Items - Demand/ Opposed

Other - Net Metering

First Name: PETITION

Last Name: PETITION 116

Account Name: PETITION

PETITION 116

Address:

City:

State:

Zip Code:

Company: Unisource ** Energy Services (UNS)*

Division: Electric

Nature Of Opinion

Docket Number: E-04204A-15-0142

Docket Position: Against

Received the following identical comments from 117 customers representing 116 households opposed to the proposed rate case.

Subject: Reject the UNS proposal to eliminate net metering!

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

Please reject UniSource Energy's proposal (Docket E-04204A-15-0142) to eliminate net metering and impose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

UniSource is attempting to make these changes retroactive to customers who installed distributed generation systems after June 1, 2015, punishing customers who made substantial investments over a year ago. The ACC must protect these customers, and ensure that future customers maintain their right to go solar without financial repercussions.

To date, no ACC-commissioned studies have indicated that net metering in Arizona is harmful to customers, and no convincing evidence has been presented by UniSource to indicate that net metering needs to change. States across the country have conducted studies that show net metering to be an equitable policy that provides substantial benefits to all ratepayers. Changing net metering without supporting evidence, and implementing rates that would make solar uneconomic, would be an enormous disservice to all UniSource customers.

UniSource Energy received such immense public opposition in response to their proposal for demand charges for all customers that they were forced to revert back to their original proposal to impose demand charges on solar customers only. Demand charges disproportionately impact customers while they are home with their families, cooking dinner, doing laundry, and enjoying the amenities they work hard for. In fact, demand charges more severely impact low energy users, like solar customers, who have made substantial investments to reduce their overall energy use while reducing costs for all ratepayers.

Please join the hundreds of Arizonans who have spoken out against UniSource's discriminatory, unsubstantiated, and unfair proposal and please reject UniSource's obvious attempt to overcharge solar

customers and stifle solar growth and innovation in Arizona.

Regards,

Submitted by: 1. Benjamin Packard 2. Margaret O'Bert 3. William Golladay 4. Joyce Valenzano 5. Baron Buggy 6. Donald Scalera 7. Michael Wolff 8. Kenneth Yamagata 9. Paul Mock 10. Thomas Hott 11. Gerald Gifford 12. Elaine Landry 13. Kathyrn Beilstein 14. Bryce Wyler 15. Michelle Keller 16. Donald Rogers 17. Rose Switzer 18. Tammy Fontaine 19. Vernon Reamer 20. Grady Land 21. Claire Foster 22. Ron Dickson 23. Jesse Page 24. Terry Quinn 25. Bernadine Trahan 26. John Cook 27. Roberta Doerges 28. Anghel Cojan 29. Marianne Bennett 30. Rusty Carnes 31. Claudia Cross 32. Herman Gordon 33. Susan Eastman 34. Doris Barrett 35. Richard Soltero 36. Tracy Geurts 37. Stewart Waintroob 38. William Jones 39. Mary Carleno 40. Olga Strickland 41. Matthew Kaplan 42. Mary Hone 43. Nicholas Acciardo 44. Yvonne Lewis 45. Mark Trainor 46. Carol Papalas 47. Gayle Wiens 48. Brandon Cheshire 49. Gred Houston 50. Paul Smith 51. Christine Verbin 52. JC flowers 53. Phil Andrews 54. Natalie Clagg 55. Victoria Coursey 56. William Clements 57. Harry Daighenbaugh 58. Francisco Silva 59. Michael Nelson 60. Randy Houser 61. Joan Powers 62. Beverly Lawrence 63. Jo Chebultz 64. Steve Carrier 65. Richard Timmering 66. John Morris 67. Ingrid Irwin 68. Lorinda Moody-Voss 69. Paul Peters 70. Karen Pontzious 71. Robin Engel 72. Richard Wolf 73. Lyle Adams 74. Myron Scott 75. Barbara Walter 76. Carol Sagun 77. Stephen Forrester 78. Christine Forrester 79. Julie Maloney 80. Donna Cantello 81. Sharon Cottingham 82. Manuel Frias 83. Frank Lauffer 84. Mary Shoff 85. Gary Shoff 86. M. Raines 87. J Hays 88. Vernon Nelson 89. Laura McMillin 90. Cathleen Milligan 91. Sharon Stewart 92. Gregory Turner 93. Douglas Quackenbush 94. William Clayton 95. Damion McCord 96. Tammy Bosse 97. Gail Sikes 98. Daniel Mahon 99. Robert Armour 100. Brandon Jones 101. Larry Pollock 102. Deb Sparrow 103. John Bosch 104. James Rasco 105. Diane Nielsen 106. David Kessler 107. Walter Hunsicker 108. Mary Carlton 109. Lloyd Killian 110. Harold Fischer 111. John Pochervina 112. David Orozco 113. Gerald Harding 114. Susan Lennox 115. Karen Daley 116. Cesar Johnson 117. Donna Frazier

Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Investigator: Roxanne Best Phone: <<< REDACTED >>> Opinion Date: 7/29/2016

Opinion Number: 2016 - 133580 Priority: Respond within 5 business days

Opinion Codes: Other - Net Metering Closed Date: 7/29/2016 4:35 PM

Rate Case Items - Demand/ Opposed

First Name: PETITION Last Name: PETITION 10 Account Name: PETITION

PETITION 10

Address: <<< REDACTED >>>

City: Chandler State: AZ Zip Code: 85286

Company: Unisource ** Energy Services (UNS)* Division: Electric

Nature Of Opinion

Docket Number: E-04204A-15-0142 Docket Position: Against

10 opposed with same letter as follows:

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

Please reject UniSource Energy's proposal (Docket E-04204A-15-0142) to eliminate net metering and impose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

UniSource is attempting to make these changes retroactive to customers who installed distributed generation systems after June 1, 2015, punishing customers who made substantial investments over a year ago. The ACC must protect these customers, and ensure that future customers maintain their right to go solar without financial repercussions.

To date, no ACC-commissioned studies have indicated that net metering in Arizona is harmful to customers, and no convincing evidence has been presented by UniSource to indicate that net metering needs to change. States across the country have conducted studies that show net metering to be an equitable policy that provides substantial benefits to all ratepayers. Changing net metering without supporting evidence, and implementing rates that would make solar uneconomic, would be an enormous disservice to all UniSource customers.

UniSource Energy received such immense public opposition in response to their proposal for demand charges for all customers that they were forced to revert back to their original proposal to impose demand charges on solar customers only. Demand charges disproportionately impact customers while they are home with their families, cooking dinner, doing laundry, and enjoying the amenities they work hard for. In fact, demand charges more severely impact low energy users, like solar customers, who have made substantial investments to reduce their overall energy use while reducing costs for all ratepayers.

Please join the hundreds of Arizonans who have spoken out against UniSource's discriminatory, unsubstantiated, and unfair proposal and please reject UniSource's obvious attempt to overcharge solar customers and stifle solar growth and innovation in Arizona.

Signed:

Agueda Palacios Jacqueline Hines

Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Arlene Weiner

Ken Brown

Bernadette Schaeffer

Lori Hone

Catherine Davis

Paul Beilstein

Douglas Flatley

Rodney Hu

Investigation

Date:

Analyst:

Submitted By:

Type:

7/29/2016

Roxanne Best

Email

Investigation

Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Investigator: Roxanne Best Phone: <<< REDACTED >>> Opinion Date: 8/2/2016

Opinion Number: 2016 - 133635 Priority: Respond within 5 business days

Opinion Codes: Rate Case Items - Consolidation Opposed Closed Date: 8/2/2016 8:12 AM

Other - Net Metering

First Name: PETITION Last Name: PETITION 41 Account Name: PETITION

PETITION 41

Address: <<< REDACTED >>>

City: Clarkdale State: AZ Zip Code: 86324

Company: Unisource ** Energy Services (UNS)* Division: Electric

Nature Of Opinion

Docket Number: E-04204A-15-0142 Docket Position: Against

41 opposed with same letter as follows:

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

Please reject UniSource Energy's proposal (Docket E-04204A-15-0142) to eliminate net metering and impose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

UniSource is attempting to make these changes retroactive to customers who installed distributed generation systems after June 1, 2015, punishing customers who made substantial investments over a year ago. The ACC must protect these customers, and ensure that future customers maintain their right to go solar without financial repercussions.

To date, no ACC-commissioned studies have indicated that net metering in Arizona is harmful to customers, and no convincing evidence has been presented by UniSource to indicate that net metering needs to change. States across the country have conducted studies that show net metering to be an equitable policy that provides substantial benefits to all ratepayers. Changing net metering without supporting evidence, and implementing rates that would make solar uneconomic, would be an enormous disservice to all UniSource customers.

UniSource Energy received such immense public opposition in response to their proposal for demand charges for all customers that they were forced to revert back to their original proposal to impose demand charges on solar customers only. Demand charges disproportionately impact customers while they are home with their families, cooking dinner, doing laundry, and enjoying the amenities they work hard for. In fact, demand charges more severely impact low energy users, like solar customers, who have made substantial investments to reduce their overall energy use while reducing costs for all ratepayers.

Please join the hundreds of Arizonans who have spoken out against UniSource's discriminatory, unsubstantiated, and unfair proposal and please reject UniSource's obvious attempt to overcharge solar customers and stifle solar growth and innovation in Arizona.

Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Signed:

Amy Rosner

Karen Sink

Angelic Robles

Larry Duke

Celeste Morin

Lorna Busek

Chris Conner

Marie Bonneau

Dan hargest

Mark Willner

Deborah Cookson

Mary Peters

Deborah Joya

Mike Hensel

Debra Crosslin

Thomas Connor

Diane Compagno

Peggy Pierce

Donald Hunt

William Houdek

Donald Wilde

Phillip Schaffer

Donna Koenig

Randall Libero

Douglas Flatley

Rick Pensinger

Freda Cunningham

Sherree Geyer

Gene Saadi

Stephen Cotta

Gloria Stuart

Steven Klefstad

helen pearce

Susan Orth

Jean Nielsen

Teresa Jennings

Jeff Hartig

Tyler Nay

Jennifer Kingsley

Troy Grady

Jerry Rittersdorf

Investigation

Date:

Analyst:

Submitted By:

Type:

8/2/2016

Roxanne Best

Email

Investigation

Investigator: Roxanne Best Phone: <<< REDACTED >>> Opinion Date: 8/3/2016

Opinion Number: 2016 - 133674 Priority: Respond within 5 business days

Opinion Codes: Rate Case Items - Demand/ Opposed Closed Date: 8/3/2016 4:48 PM

Other - Net Metering

First Name: PETITION Last Name: PETITION 37 Account Name: PETITION

PETITION 37

Address: <<< REDACTED >>>

City: Phoenix State: AZ

Zip Code: 85032

Company: Unisource ** Energy Services (UNS)* Division: Electric

Nature Of Opinion

Docket Number: E-04204A-15-0142 Docket Position: Against

37 opposed with same letter as follows:

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

Please reject UniSource Energy's proposal (Docket E-04204A-15-0142) to eliminate net metering and impose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

UniSource is attempting to make these changes retroactive to customers who installed distributed generation systems after June 1, 2015, punishing customers who made substantial investments over a year ago. The ACC must protect these customers, and ensure that future customers maintain their right to go solar without financial repercussions.

To date, no ACC-commissioned studies have indicated that net metering in Arizona is harmful to customers, and no convincing evidence has been presented by UniSource to indicate that net metering needs to change. States across the country have conducted studies that show net metering to be an equitable policy that provides substantial benefits to all ratepayers. Changing net metering without supporting evidence, and implementing rates that would make solar uneconomic, would be an enormous disservice to all UniSource customers.

UniSource Energy received such immense public opposition in response to their proposal for demand charges for all customers that they were forced to revert back to their original proposal to impose demand charges on solar customers only. Demand charges disproportionately impact customers while they are home with their families, cooking dinner, doing laundry, and enjoying the amenities they work hard for. In fact, demand charges more severely impact low energy users, like solar customers, who have made substantial investments to reduce their overall energy use while reducing costs for all ratepayers.

Please join the hundreds of Arizonans who have spoken out against UniSource's discriminatory, unsubstantiated, and unfair proposal and please reject UniSource's obvious attempt to overcharge solar customers and stifle solar growth and innovation in Arizona.

Signed:

Alex Ponikvar Jere Sponagle

Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Alfred & Sandy Chilese

Joseph Paterson

Amanda Duncan

JUDY & Henry KUGEL

Barbara Schuber

Kathalene Lee & Russell Hague

Barbara Yednak

Kathryn Fishman

Carol Gibson

Kenneth Fries

Carol Holt

linda phillips

Cass mckee

MARY GRANT

Christine Sleighel

Mary Irvin

David Hoffmann

Richard Flickinger

Dean Taylor

Robert Briix

Evelea Heer

Robert Ludescher

Gary Cormany

Robin Garman

Grant Hill

Sherri Colbert

Jahn Baker

Sue Gilbert

Jane Wakefield

Susan Sanders

Tony Leiterman

Terry Fuller

Thomas Jones & Nancy Arnaoudoff

Monique Carpenter

Philip Dixon

Investigation

Date:

Analyst:

Submitted By:

Type:

8/3/2016

Roxanne Best

Email

Investigation

Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Investigator: Michael Buck

Phone: <<< REDACTED >>>

Opinion Date: 8/5/2016

Opinion Number: 2016 - 133707

Priority: Respond within 5 business days

Opinion Codes:

Rate Case Items - Opposed

Closed Date: 8/5/2016 10:58 AM

First Name: Rosa

Last Name: Norman

Account Name: Rosa Norman

Address: <<< REDACTED >>>

City: Tucson

State: AZ

Zip Code: 85711

Home: <<< REDACTED >>>

Company: Unisource ** Energy Services (UNS)*

Division: Electric

Nature Of Opinion

Docket Number: E-04204A-15-0142

Docket Position: Against

Commissioners,

Please do not allow the Company to change net metering. The buy back rate should remain the same as well as the month to month carryover.

Thank you.

Investigation

Date:

Analyst:

Submitted By:

Type:

8/5/2016

Michael Buck

Telephone

Investigation

Entered into the record and docketed. Closed.

Investigator: Mary Mee Phone: <<< REDACTED >>> **Opinion Date: 8/2/2016**

Opinion Number: 2016 - 133640 Priority: Respond within 5 business days

Opinion Codes: Rate Case Items - Opposed Closed Date: 8/2/2016 10:57 AM

Rate Case Items - Solar In Favor

First Name: Debbie Last Name: Yin Account Name: Debbie Yin

Address: <<< REDACTED >>>

City: Fountain Hills State: AZ **Zip Code: 85268**

Home: <<< REDACTED >>> Email: <<< REDACTED >>>

Company: Unisource ** Energy Services (UNS)* **Division**: Electric

Nature Of Opinion

Docket Number: E-04204A-15-0142 Docket Position: Against

UNS asserts that ratepayers who install solar are not paying their share of fixed costs (construction of power plants, transmission lines, and associated infrastructure). This ignores all of the benefits that solar customers provide to the grid and to our communities, including less water use, less pollution, and less need for additional power plants and other infrastructure. Utility customers who install rooftop solar don't just save grid electricity. Solar customers add reliability to the grid by reducing vulnerability to unplanned outages at power plants. Plus, they add energy during the day, when demand is up and when it is more expensive for utilities to generate or buy electricity. Arizona has some of the best opportunities for solar in the country. We are already experiencing the negative impacts of global climate disruption higher temperatures, extended drought, more extreme weather, larger and more intense forest fires. It makes sense for Arizona and Arizona's utilities to invest in low-carbon solar energy and energy efficiency to reduce carbon pollution. Also, when we added solar panels in 2010, we were encouraged and incentivized by SRP utility to do this! I believe the only reason this is now looked upon so negatively by utilities is because they are trying to get a new source of income so why not charge the solar customers!! We invested a lot in the solar program and would be seriously impacted by the changes and charges proposed! I am in total opposition to this issue of killing solar!

Investigation

Telephone

Date: Analyst: Submitted By: Type:

Investigation

Mary Mee Comments noted for the record and docketed, CLOSED

8/2/2016

Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Investigator: Mary Mee Phone: <<< REDACTED >>> Opinion Date: 8/1/2016

Opinion Number: 2016 - 133628 Priority: Respond within 5 business days

Opinion Codes: Rate Case Items - Demand/ Opposed Closed Date: 8/1/2016 4:13 PM

Other - Net Metering

First Name: Jim Last Name: Curran Account Name: Jim Curran

Address: <<< REDACTED >>>

City: Kingman State: AZ Zip Code: 86401

Email: <<< REDACTED >>>

Company: Unisource ** Energy Services (UNS)* Division: Electric

Nature Of Opinion

Docket Number: E-04204A-15-0142 Docket Position: Against

From: Jim Curran [mailto: <<< REDACTED >>>]

Sent: Monday, August 01, 2016 4:05 PM

To: Utilities Div - Mailbox <UtilitiesDiv@azcc.gov>

Subject: Docket#E-04204A-15-0142 - I oppose UNS's demand charges and elimination of net metering.

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

I am a solar owner and producer of power

Regards,

Jim Curran

<<< REDACTED >>>

<<< REDACTED >>>

Investigation

Date: Analyst:

Submitted By:

Type:

8/1/2016

Mary Mee

Telephone

Investigation

Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Investigator: Mary Mee Phone: <<< REDACTED >>> Opinion Date: 8/1/2016

Opinion Number: 2016 - 133614 Priority: Respond within 5 business days

Opinion Codes: Rate Case Items - Opposed Closed Date: 8/1/2016 1:32 PM

First Name: Monte Last Name: McCue Account Name: Monte McCue

Address: <<< REDACTED >>>

City: Lake Havasu City State: AZ Zip Code: 86406

Home: <<< REDACTED >>> Email: <<< REDACTED >>>

Company: Unisource ** Energy Services (UNS)*

Division: Electric

Nature Of Opinion

Docket Number: E-04204A-15-0142 Docket Position: Against

I encourage the Commission to adopt the following language in their final decision to protect an individual homeowner's investment in a solar system should the property be sold: ".....any DG customer who files an interconnection agreement prior to the effective date this decision in phase two of this proceeding (Docket E-04204A-15-0142) shall be treated the same as a DG customer who filed for interconnection prior to that date" (Judge Rodda recommendation).......In addition, grandfathered customers retain their current rate plans and net metering arrangements for 20 years after the system was first interconnected to the UNSE system. The grandfathering provisions would apply to property on which the system is located and may transfer with the property to a new customer within the applicable 20-year time period mentioned above." Thanks for your consideration.

Investigation

Date: Analyst: Submitted By: Type:

8/1/2016 Mary Mee Telephone Investigation

Investigator: Mary Mee Phone: <<< REDACTED >>> Opinion Date: 7/29/2016

Opinion Number: 2016 - 133577 Priority: Respond within 5 business days

Opinion Codes: Rate Case Items - Opposed Closed Date: 7/29/2016 4:10 PM

Other - Net Metering

First Name: Alan Last Name: Deobler Account Name: Alan Deobler

Address: <<< REDACTED >>>

City: Sun City State: AZ Zip Code: 85351

Email: <<< REDACTED >>>

Company: Unisource ** Energy Services (UNS)* Division: Electric

Nature Of Opinion

From: alan deobler [mailto: <<< REDACTED >>>]

Sent: Friday, July 29, 2016 2:11 PM

To: Utilities Div - Mailbox <UtilitiesDiv@azcc.gov>

Subject: Reject the UNS proposal to eliminate net metering!

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

To whom it may concern @ the ACC,

I recently had solar installed on my roof. After 3 months of APS bills I am thrilled with my savings and energy output. Living in a retired community with a limited income, solar has given my wife and I a better sense of security for the future. I chose solar for the environment and to stabilize any future energy rate hikes.

The southwest has such a high Langley, or SI unit, energy ratio with the capacity to have a tremendous amount of clean energy produced that I fear any added tax or rate hikes to solar, wind-power, nuclear would stifle future progress in these areas. This is the golden age of clean energy. Allow it to develop to its full potential. The future is here. These areas NEED to be helped along. Our future is in front of you.

Alan Deobler

Sun City, AZ

Regards,

alan deobler

<<< REDACTED >>>

<<< REDACTED >>>

Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Date:

Analyst:

Submitted By:

Type:

7/29/2016

Mary Mee

Telephone

Investigation

Investigator: Mary Mee Phone: <<< REDACTED >>> Opinion Date: 7/29/2016

Opinion Number: 2016 - 133578 Priority: Respond within 5 business days

Opinion Codes: Rate Case Items - Opposed Closed Date: 7/29/2016 4:15 PM

Rate Case Items - Demand/ Opposed

Other - Net Metering

First Name: Gene Last Name: Harvey Account Name: Gene Harvey

Address: <<< REDACTED >>>

City: Kingman State: AZ Zip Code: 86401

Email: <<< REDACTED >>>

Company: Unisource ** Energy Services (UNS)* Division: Electric

Nature Of Opinion

Docket Number: E-04204A-15-0142 Docket Position: Against

From: Gene Harvey [mailto: <<< REDACTED >>>]

Sent: Friday, July 29, 2016 4:08 PM

To: Utilities Div - Mailbox <UtilitiesDiv@azcc.gov>

Subject: Reject the UNS proposal to eliminate net metering!

Dear Arizona Corporation Commission,

IF YOU WANT MY VOTE IN AUGUST THEN....Please reject UniSource Energy's proposal (Docket E-04204A-15-0142) to eliminate net metering and impose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers.

I support legistlation that requires voter approval for all rate increases - Stop the Money Grab

UniSource is attempting to make these changes retroactive to customers who installed distributed generation systems after June 1, 2015, punishing customers who made substantial investments over a year ago. The ACC must protect these customers, and ensure that future customers maintain their right to go solar without financial repercussions.

To date, no ACC-commissioned studies have indicated that net metering in Arizona is harmful to customers, and no convincing evidence has been presented by UniSource to indicate that net metering needs to change. States across the country have conducted studies that show net metering to be an equitable policy that provides substantial benefits to all ratepayers. Changing net metering without supporting evidence, and implementing rates that would make solar uneconomic, would be an enormous disservice to all UniSource customers.

UniSource Energy received such immense public opposition in response to their proposal for demand charges for all customers that they were forced to revert back to their original proposal to impose demand charges on solar customers only. Demand charges disproportionately impact customers while they are home with their families, cooking dinner, doing laundry, and enjoying the amenities they work hard for. In fact, demand charges more severely impact low energy users, like solar customers, who have made substantial investments to reduce their overall energy use while reducing costs for all ratepayers.

Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Please join the hundreds of Arizonans who have spoken out against UniSource's discriminatory, unsubstantiated, and unfair proposal and please reject UniSource's obvious attempt to overcharge solar customers and stifle solar growth and innovation in Arizona.

Regards,

Gene Harvey

<<< REDACTED >>>

<<< REDACTED >>>

Investigation

Date:

Analyst:

Submitted By:

7/29/2016

Mary Mee

Telephone

Investigation

Type:

Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Investigator: Roxanne Best Phone: <<< REDACTED >>> Opinion Date: 8/1/2016

Opinion Number: 2016 - 133603 Priority: Respond within 5 business days

Opinion Codes: Other - Net Metering Closed Date: 8/1/2016 10:09 AM

Rate Case Items - Demand/ Opposed

First Name: Michael Last Name: Kuntzelman Account Name: Michael Kuntzelman

Address: <<< REDACTED >>>

City: Marana State: AZ Zip Code: 85653

Email: <<< REDACTED >>>

Company: Unisource ** Energy Services (UNS)* Division: Electric

Nature Of Opinion

Docket Number: E-04204A-15-0142 Docket Position: Against

Please reject UniSource Energy's proposal (Docket E-04204A-15-0142) to eliminate net metering and impose discriminatory demand charges on solar customers. You have no other decent option and for once, the whole world IS watching. Please put the sustainability of human life on this planet ahead of short term monetary gain. You will be Hearing more from us if you fail to honor your responsibility.

Investigation

Date: Submitted By: Type:

8/1/2016 Roxanne Best Email Investigation

Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Investigator: Deborah Reagan Phone: <<< REDACTED >>> Opinion Date: 8/4/2016

Opinion Number: 2016 - 133689 Priority: Respond within 5 business days

Opinion Codes: Rate Case Items - Opposed Closed Date: 8/4/2016 2:14 PM

First Name: Dan Last Name: Heinemann Account Name: Dan Heinemann

Address:

City: Kingman State: AZ Zip Code:

Company: Unisource ** Energy Services (UNS)* Division: Electric

Nature Of Opinion

Docket Number: E-04204A-15-0142 Docket Position: Against

Customer called to let the Commissioners know he is totally against the proposals in the Unisource rate case.

Arizona Corporation Commission Utilities Complaint Form

Investigator: Deborah Reagan Phone: <<< REDACTED >>> Opinion Date: 8/4/2016

Opinion Number: 2016 - 133693 Priority: Respond within 5 business days

Opinion Codes: Rate Case Items - Demand/ Opposed Closed Date: 8/4/2016 4:37 PM

Rate Case Items - Opposed

First Name: David Last Name: Cruz Account Name: David Cruz

Address:

City: Kingman State: AZ Zip Code:

Company: Unisource ** Energy Services (UNS)* Division: Electric

Nature Of Opinion

Docket Number: E-04204A-15-0142 Docket Position: Against

Caller wanted to make his opinion known that he is against the demand charge and the rate increase requested by Unisource.